# Use of nitrogen and carbon dioxide injection in exploitation of light oil reservoirs

Stanislaw Nagy<sup>1</sup>, Andrzej Olajossy and Jakub Siemek

#### Vstrekovanie dusíka a oxidu uhličitého pri ťažbe ľahkej ropy z ložísk

The secondary recovery processes in oil reservoirs may be performed using various techniques, e.g. the conventional waterflooding, water alternating gas injection or the double displacement process. The use of high nitrogen content gas obtained from a Polish Lowland natural gas field by separation is considered for the injection process.

Key words: nitrogen, carbon dioxide, gas injection

#### Introduction

Displacing of oil from a porous medium through the fluids mixing with oil found an application in the technologies of secondary oil reservoir exploitation methods (EOR). Those methods include: the injection of gases like  $CO_2$ ,  $N_2$  or the natural gas. The gas injection reduces the pressure decline connected with the production of oil from the reservoir. However, the gas injected affects the equilibrium composition of the gas/oil system in the reservoir. A selective reduction of ingredients in the oil phase (VGD – Vaporising Gas Drive) is observed. It may also occur the inverse phenomenon which involves a condensation of some ingredients from the gas phase (CGD – Condensing Gas Drive) or gas and oil may also have an inclination for mixing with each other at the first contact (FCM – First Contact Miscibility). The research state concerning displacing is described in the Stalkup's works. The miscibility at the first contact occurs in the reservoir if the original reservoir fluid and injected gas are miscible. This means that all possible mixtures for initial compositions lead to the formation of a single-phase system.

In case of the condensing-drive process (CGD), the original reservoir fluid and the injected gas are not miscible; later the miscibility could be obtained near the injection well. In this process, intermediate components selectively condensate from the gas injected to oil.





Fig. 1. Minimum Miscibility Pressure determination in the triangle diagram.



To illustrate the mixing process, the triangle diagrams are used, dividing the mixture composition into three component groups:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> dr hab. inž. Stanislaw Nagy, prof. zw. dr hab. Andrzej Olajossy, prof.zw. dr hab. inž. Jakub Siemek, AGH University of Science & Technology, Al. Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Cracow, Poland, <u>nagy@agh.edu.pl</u>, <u>olajossy@agh.edu.pl</u>, <u>siemek@agh.edu.pl</u> (Recenzovaná a revidovaná verzia dodaná 6. 10. 2006)

- 1. light components:  $C_1 + CO_2 + N_2$
- 2. intermediate components:  $C_2 C_6$
- $_{3.}$  heavy components:  $C_{7+}$

Each vertex represents 100 % content of the component group. The arbitrary concentration between 0 - 100 % is represented in the diagram in the form of an appropriate segment. The bubble and dew curves for the specified pressure and temperature values determine a two-phase area, marked in the picture by the thick line, the point C is the critical point of the pseudo-mixture.

The gas injection with the evaporation process (VGD) is shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2ad. The points G, ga, g1, g2, gt pertain to the injected gas, whereas the points Op, Oa, O1, O2 pertain to the displaced oil. The points G and O represent the initial compositions of the injected gas and oil, whereas the points g1, g2 and O2 indicate changes in the compositions of both fluids during the injection process which leads to the formation of the mixing zone (Fig. 2ad). The presented mixing process occurs in a distance of few meters from the injection well and involves the situation in which the injected gas is the natural gas. The process of mixing of fluids (injected and displaced) occurs at the specified pressure and the specified reservoir temperature (Fig. 3).





Fig. 3. Minimum Miscibility Pressure as a function of the oil and injection of gas compositions in the reservoir temperature.

Fig. 4. Liquid phase fraction for four mixtures in the laboratory EOR processes (Sanger&Hagoort 1998).

#### Specification of the minimal mixing pressure for methane and nitrogen as an injecting medium

The first dependence of this type was published by Stalkup (1984). However, the author suggested a careful use of this method. The main disadvantage of this work was an unsatisfactory number of researches targeting at its confirmation. Two years later, Firoozabadi and Aziz (1986) published a correlation based on numerous experimental data. However, they did not sufficiently included the temperature influence on MMP. Meanwhile Glaso (1988) proposed a number of MMP correlations for the natural gas,  $CO_2$  and  $N_2$ . His work is based on the Benham et al. data. Unfortunately this correlation is not very accurate.

Numerous works involving MMP, nitrogen and natural gas as an injecting medium, were published by Glaso (1988) and Hudgins et al. (1988) for nitrogen as a displacing fluid, Nouar and Lock (1988) for the natural gas and Eakin and Mitch (1988) for all types of gases and mixing processes ( $CO_2$ ,  $N_2$  and the natural gas). The work of Nouar and Flock (1988) differs widely from the other ones. The authors performed a very precise interpretation of the three-components diagram for methane as the displacing gas. It is the graphical correlation, based on the numerous experimental data. The obtained results are convergent with those given by Hudgins et al. (1988).

The most important parameters having a direct influence on the mixing of the reservoir fluid with nitrogen or the natural gas are: the content of intermediate pseudo-components (hydrocarbons  $C_2$ - $C_6$ ,  $CO_2$ ,  $H_2S$ ), the molecular weight of heavy fractions  $M_{C7+}$  and the temperature.

#### Problem of specification of minimal mixing pressure for oil reservoirs with the gas cap and the compositional grading reservoir fluid

The extensive considerations according to the variation of the minimal mixing pressure are included in the Hoeier & Whitson (2001). Analyzing the MMP variation for the depth function, the variation of MMP reaches 10 MPa and is a depth function and MME (Minimum Miscibility Enrichment – see Zick (1986)). Such analysis could be performed after obtaining full and reliable thermodynamic data regarding the total composition of the reservoir fluid attributed to the specified depth.

# Minimal mixing pressure of nitrogen for the hypothetical oil reservoir

Hanssen is correlation was used for the estimation of the minimal mixing pressure of the oil (light) and the nitrogen system. Because of lack of the total composition of the system,

| Content [% ]<br>C <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> | Molecular mass<br>C <sub>7+</sub> | MMP<br>[MPa] | t<br>I  |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| 8.2  | 160                               | 56.2         | (       |
| 8  | 200                               | 64.0         |         |
| 7.5  | 220                               | 69.6         | T<br>fe |

the calculations should be treated with an appropriate carefulness. In case of a Polish reservoir the value varies between 56 and 70 MPa (see Tab. 1).

Tab. 1. Presentation of the initial and forecasted minimal miscibility MMP pressure for a typical reservoir from the Polish Lowland.

## Processes of displacing of oil and condensate by methane and nitrogen

The process of displacing of oil/condensate by nitrogen is less efficient than displacing by pure methane or by carbon dioxide or another gas containing fumes. In this field several research works were performed inter alia in the Netherlands – Hagoort et al. (1988), Boersma & Hagoort (1994), Sanger Bjørnstad, Hagoort (1988), Wendschlag et al. (1993). The most important factors affecting the efficiency of the process of displacing condensate/oil are geological conditions (type of the lateral and vertical heterogeneity) and maintaining the work of reservoir above the saturation pressure of the mixing system. The fluid displacing should always be realized as the miscible process because of flow resistances caused by decreasing the effective permeability as a result of the changes of saturation, viscosity and the surface tension between two phases.

# Key issues concerning the gas injection into the oil reservoir

Three elementary questions require a precise geological-reservoir analysis (Massonnat et al., 1997):

- 1. what is the level of the reservoir heterogeneity?
- 2. could the defined in the foregoing point heterogeneity be modeled ?
- 3. what is the rate of the displacing process ("flow patterns")?

A range of the research bound with the answer to those three questions almost depletes all geological aspects in modeling of displacing oil by gas. The indicated issues could be modeled based on the estimation of variation of bed layers lithological properties with the usage of stochastic modeling. In such an analysis it is necessary to define two border-situations: the most profitable and the least profitable from the displacing process view. The analysis performed by Massonnat et al. (1997) indicated the necessity to carry out a research with the usage of the statistic modeling: the litho-stereographic correlation, directions of dips, three-dimensional distribution of reservoir parameters, heterogeneity range, frequency of heterogeneity changes, and the statistical distributions of the reservoir parameters.

|                 |                   | Tab    | . 2 Composition of the inje | ected gases show in Fig. 4. |
|-----------------|-------------------|--------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Component       | fluid1            | fluid2 | fluid3                      | fluid4                      |
|                 | Molecular content |        |                             |                             |
| $N_2$           | 0                 | 0.0978 | 0.1483                      | 0.072                       |
| CH <sub>4</sub> | 0.7648            | 0.7236 | 0.6838                      | 0.7355                      |
| $nC_4H_{10}$    | 0.1782            | 0.1339 | 0.1259                      | 0.1278                      |
| $C_{14}H_{30}$  | 0.0570            | 0.0447 | 0.0420                      | 0.0295                      |

#### Negative and positive aspects of injecting nitrogen into the reservoir

- 1. Numerous negative phenomena were observed during the injection of nitrogen into the gas-condensate and light oil reservoirs (both in the laboratory scale and in the industry). The most important factors are the following:
  - o Increase of the minima mixing pressure in comparison with carbon dioxide, combustion gases or buffer injection of LPG with methane (see Hanssen 1988)
  - o Significant decrease of efficiency of the heaviest hydrocarbons in the reservoir recovery; supplying nitrogen causes an increase of constants of the lightest equilibrium and an decrease of the heaviest components of the hydrocarbon system (Hagoort, J. et. al. 1988).
- 2. Fig. 4 presents the content of liquid phase (condensate/oil) resulting from the exploitation with the injection of four basic displacings during the laboratory research mixtures. These are the fluids mentioned below in Tab. 2. The content of nitrogen varies from 0 to 15 %. The fluid 1 differentiates

from others by the high content of butanes. The increase of the nitrogen content relevantly increases the reservoir pressure, necessary for a single-phase (miscible) flow inside the reservoir.

- 3. One of benefits of the nitrogen usage for the injection (leading to the increase of the reservoir pressure) is its availability. The second possibility for the source of nitrogen is the separation from "the nitrogen high content" natural gas the cryogenic installations.
- 4. In the possible EOR project the case of injection of  $CO_2$  may be useful. In the first stage,  $CO_2$  is injected and in the later phase the classical nitrogen injection process may be consider.

# Literature

- [1] Benham, A., L., Dowden, W., E., Kunzman, W., J.: Miscible Fluid Displacement-Prediction of Miscibility. *Trans.*, *AIME*, 1960.
- [2] Boersma, D., M., Hagoort, J.: Displacement Characteristics of Nitrogen vs. Methane Flooding in Volatile-Oil Reservoirs, *SPERE*, *November 1994*, 261.
- [3] Donohoe, C., W., Buchanan, R., D.: Economic Evaluation of Cycling Gas-Condensate Reservoirs with Nitrogen, JPT, February 1981, 263.
- [4] Eakin, B., E., Mitch, F., J.: Measurements and Correlation of Miscibility Pressures of Reservoir Oil, *SPE Paper No 18065,63rd Ann.Techn.Conf. and Exhibition of SPE,Huston Oct. 1988.*
- [5] Eckles, W., W. Jr., Prihoda, C., Holden, W., W.: Unique Enhanced Oil and Gas Recovery for Very High-Pressure Wilcox Sands Uses Cryogenic Nitrogen and Methane Mixture, JPT, June 1981, 971.
- [6] Emanuel, A., S., Behrens, R., A., Mc Millen, T., J.: A Generalized Method for Predicting Gas\Oil Miscibility, SPERE, 1986.
- [7] Evison, B. Gilchrist, R., E.: New Developments in Nitrogen in the Oil Industry, *paper SPE 24313* presented at the 1992 SPE Mid- Continent Gas Symposium, Amarillo, Texas, 13–14 April.
- [8] Firoozabadi, A., Aziz, K.: Analysis and Correlation of Nitrogen and Lean-Gas Miscibility Pressure, SPERE, Nov. 1986.
- [9] Glaso, Ø.: Generalized Minimum Miscibility Pressure Correlation, SPEJ, Dec. 1985.
- [10] Glaso, Ø.: Miscible Displacement: Recovery Tests with Nitrogen, SPE Paper No 17378 SPE/DOE Sixth Symposium on EOR of SPE and Department of Energy, Tulsa, April 1988.
- [11] Hagoort, J., Brinkhorst, J., W., van der Kleyn, P., H.: Development of an Offshore Gas Condensate Reservoir by Nitrogen Injection vis- a'-vis Pressure Depletion, JPT, April 1988, 463.
- [12] Hanssen, J., E.: Nitrogen as a Low Cost Replacement for Natural Gas Reinjection Offshore, SPE Paper No 17709, Gas Technology Symposium, Dallas June, 1988.
- [13] Hoier, L., C., H. Whitson: Miscibility Variation in Compositional Grading Reservoirs, SPE Reservoir Evaluation & Engineering, Feb. 2001, p. 36-43
- [14] Huang, W., W., Bellamy, R., B., Ohnimus, S., W.: A Study of Nitrogen Injection for Increased Recovery From a Rich Retrograde Gas/ Volatile Oil Reservoir, paper SPE 14059 presented at the 1986 SPE International Meeting on Petroleum Engineering, Beijing, 17–20 March.
- [15] Hudgins, D., A., Leave, F., M., Chung, F., T., H.: Nitrogen Miscible Displacement of Light Crude Oil: a Laboratory Study. SPE Paper No 17372 SPE/DOE Sixth Symposium on EOR of SPE and Department of Energy, Tulsa, April 1988.
- [16] Johns, R., T.: Analytical Theory of Multicomponent Gas Drives with Two-Phase Mass Transfer, *PhD thesis, Stanford U., Stanford, California, May 1992.*
- [17] Knapp, H. et al.: Vapor Liquid Equilibria for Mixtures of Low Boiling Substances, *Chemistry Series VI. D. Behrends and R. Eckerman (eds.), Dechema, Frankfurt/Main, Germany 1982.*
- [18] Koch, H., A., Hutchison, C., A. Jr.: Miscible Displacements of Reservoir Oil Using Flue Gas, *Trans.,AIME 1958*.
- [19] Lee, J., I., Reitzel, G., A.: High Pressure, Dry Gas Miscible Flood Brazeau River Nisku Oil Pools, JPT 1982.
- [20] Massonnat, G. et al.: Early evaluation of uncertainties in the incremental condensate recovery through a gas cycling process, SPE Journal, Vol. 2, March 1997, p. 33-47
- [21] Meltzer, B., D., Hurdle, J., M., Cassingham, R., W.: An Efficient Gas Displacement Project Reyleigh Field, Mississippi, JPT May 1965.
- [22] Metcalfe, R., S. et al.: Displacement of a Rich Gas Condensate by Nitrogen, SPE Paper No 16714, 62nd Ann. Techn. Conf. ,Dallas 1987.
- [23] Metcalfe, R., S., Vogel, J., L., Morris, R., W.: Compositional Gradi- ents in the Anschutz Range East Field, SPERE (August 1988) 1025; Trans., AIME, 285.
- [24] Moses, P., L., Wilson, K.: Phase Equilibrium Considerations in Using Nitrogen for Improved Recovery from Retrograde Condensate Reservoirs, JPT (February 1981) 256.

- [25] Moysan, J., M., Paradowski, H., Vidal, J.: Prediction of Phase Behavior of Gas Containing Systems with Cubic Equations of State, *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 41 (1986) 2069.
- [26] Nouar, A., Flock, D., L.: Prediction of the Minimum Miscibility Pressure of a Vaporizing Gas Drive, SPERE (Feb.1988).
- [27] Peng, D., Y. Robinson, D., B.: A New Two-Constant Equation of State, Ind. Eng. Chem. Fund. (1976) 15, No. 1, 59.
- [28] Perkins, T., K., Johnston, O., C.: A Review of Diffusion and Dispersion in Porous Media, SPEJ (March 1963) 70; Trans., AIME, 228.
- [29] Peterson, A., V.: Optimal Recovery Experiments With N2 and CO2, Pet. Eng. Intl. (Nov. 1978).
- [30] Sanger, P., J., Bjørnstad, H., K., and Hagoort, J.: Nitrogen Injection Into Stratified Gas-Condensate Reservoirs, paper SPE 28941 presented at the 1994 SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition, New Orleans, 25–28 September.
- [31] Sanger, P., J., Hagoort: Recovery of Gas Condensate by Nitrogen Injection Compared With Methane Injection, SPE Journal, March 1998, p. 26
- [32] Siregar, S., Hagoort, J., Ronde, H.: Nitrogen Injection vs. Gas Cycling in Rich Retrograde Condensate-Gas Reservoirs, paper SPE 22360 presented at the 1992 SPE International Meeting on Petroleum Engineering, Beijing, 24–27 March.
- [33] Stalkup, E., I. Jr.: Miscible Displacement, Monograph volume 8, L. Henry, Doherty Memorial Fund of AIME, SPE (1983).
- [34] Walsh, B., W., Orr, F., M. Jr.: Prediction of Miscible Flood Performance: the Effect of Dispersion on Composition Paths in Ternary Systems, *In Situ* (1990) 14, No. 1, 19.
- [35] Wendschlag, D., D., Stephenson, R., E., Clark, T., T.: Fieldwide Simulation of the Anschutz Ranch East Nitrogen Injection Project with a Generalized Compositional Model, *paper SPE 12257 presented* at the 1993 Proceedings of the Reservoir Simulation Symposium, San Francisco, 15–18 November.
- [36] Yelling, W., F., Metcalfe, R., S.: Determination and Prediction of CO<sub>2</sub> Minimum Miscibility Pressures, JPT (1980).
- [37] Zick, A., A.: A Combined Condensing/Vaporizing Mechanism In The Displacement Of Oil By Enriched Gases, *paper SPE 15493*, 1986.